UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11,2001

03 MDL 1570 (GBD) (SN)

ECF Case

This document relates to:

Ashton et al. v. al Qaeda Islamic Army, et al., 02-cv-6977 (GBD)(SN) (and member case Burlingame v. Bin Laden, et al., 02-cv-7230 (GBD)(SN))

Ashton, et al. v. Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 17-cv-02003 (GBN)(SN)

[PROPOSED] ORDER OF SUPPLEMENTAL, PARTIAL FINAL JUDGEMENT AGAINST IRAN ON BEHALF OF A *BURLINGAME* PLAINTIFF

Upon consideration of the evidence and arguments submitted by the Personal Representative of the Estate of Farrell Lynch, regarding her wrongful death claim (she and her three children named herein are *Ashton/Burlingame* parties to the above-captioned litigation), and the Judgment by Default Against the Islamic Republic of Iran entered on August 26, 2015, together with the entire record in this case, and in addition to the prior default judgment award for compensatory damages for the pre-death conscious pain and suffering of the decedent (*see* ECF Nos. 3226, 3229), it is hereby;

ORDERED that partial final judgment is entered on behalf of these *Burlingame* Plaintiffs identified in the attached Exhibit A against the Islamic Republic of Iran; and it is

ORDERED that the *Burlingame* Plaintiffs identified in the attached Exhibit A are awarded economic damages as set forth in Exhibit A; and it is

ORDERED that the *Burlingame* Plaintiffs identified in the attached Exhibit A are awarded *solatium* damages as set forth in Exhibit A; and it is

ORDERED that the *Burlingame* Plaintiffs identified in the attached Exhibit A are awarded \$2 million for the conscious pain and suffering of the decedent as set forth in Exhibit A; and it is

Case 1:03-md-01570-GBD-SN Document 5396 Filed 12/23/19 Page 2 of 2

ORDERED that prejudgment interest on those awards is to be calculated at the rate of

4.96 percent per annum, compounded annually for the period from September 11, 2001 until the

date of the judgment; and it is

ORDERED that the *Burlingame* Plaintiffs identified in the attached Exhibit A may submit

a future application for punitive damages consistent with any future rulings of this Court; and it is

ORDERED that the *Burlingame* Plaintiffs not appearing on Exhibit A who were not

previously awarded solatium and/or economic damages may submit applications in later stages

and they will be approved on the same basis as currently approved for those Plaintiffs appearing

on Exhibit A.

Dated: New York, New York December _____, 2019

SO ORDERED:

GEORGE B. DANIELS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

-2-